arXiv:hep-th/9710138v1 17 Oct 1997

CONSTRUCTION OF MAXIMALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS FOR THE METRIC

Debashis Gangopadhyay[1] *and Soumitra Sengupta*[2]

---

[1] S.N.Bose National Centre For Basic Sciences,JD-Block,Sector-III,Salt Lake,Calcutta-700091,INDIA. e-mail:debashis@bose.ernet.in

[2] Department of Physics,Jadavpur University, Calcutta-700032,INDIA

Abstract

We construct new maximally symmetric solutions for the metric.We then show that for a string moving in a background consisting of maximally symmetric gravity, dilaton field and second rank antisymmetric tensor field,the $\mathrm{O(d)} \otimes \mathrm{O(d)}$ transformation on the vacuum solutions, in general, gives inequivalent solutions that are *not* maximally symmetric.

Some time back it was shown that the low energy string effective action possesses, for time dependent metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, torsion $B_{\mu\nu}$ and dilaton Φ background fields $(\mu, \nu = 1, 2, ..d)$ a full continuous O(d,d) symmetry under which "cosmological" solutions of the equations of motion are transformed into other inequivalent solutions [1]. Subsequently, a generalisation to this was obtained [2]. These transformations are conjectured to be a generalisation of the Narain construction [3] to curved backgrounds.

Here we investigate the consequences of this O(d) ⊗ O(d) transformation on the space-time symmetries of the theory. We consider a string propagating in a gravity, dilaton and second rank antisymmetric tensor background and show that *if the full metric corresponding to a given background is maximally symmetric then under the O(d) ⊗ O(d) twist this symmetry is not preserved.*

We first discuss the meaning of maximal symmetry and the O(d) ⊗ O(d) symmetry.We then show that for $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$, the only maximally symmetric solution is that for which the dilaton background is a constant and the curvature constant $K = 0$.This maximal symmetry is broken under the O(d)⊗ O(d) transformation. We then show that an approximate maximally symmetric solution with $B_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ and non-zero curvature is possible with a linear dilaton background.However, this symmetry is again destroyed under O(d)⊗ O(d) twist.

For a maximally symmetric space-time [4] the curvature

$$R_{iklm} = K\,(g_{im}\ g_{kl} - g_{il}\ g_{km}) \tag{1}$$

K is the curvature constant proportional to the scalar curvature R^i_i. Two maximally symmetric metrics with the same K and the same number of eigenvalues of each sign, are related by a coordinate diffeomorphism [4]. Now consider the low energy effective action of string theory in D space-time dimensions. This is [5] :

$$S = -\int d^D X \sqrt{detG} e^{-\phi} \left[\Lambda - R^{(D)}(G) + \left(\frac{1}{12}\right) H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} - G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi\right] \tag{2}$$

where $H_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} +$ cyclic perm.$R^{(D)}$ is the D-dimensional Ricci scalar and Λ is the cosmological constant equal to $\frac{(D-26)}{3}$ for the bosonic string and $\frac{(D-10)}{2}$ for the fermionic string. It has been shown that [2] for (a) $X \equiv \left(\hat{Y}^m, \tilde{Y}^\alpha\right), 1 \le m \le d, 1 \le \alpha \le D-d$. $\hat{Y}^m$ having Euclidean signature, (b) background fields independent of $\hat{Y}^m$, and
(c) $G = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{mn} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}$; $B = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_{mn} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{B}_{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}$ the action (1) can be recast into

$$S = -\int d^D \hat{Y} \int d^{D-d} \tilde{Y} \sqrt{det \tilde{G}} e^{-\chi}$$

$$[\Lambda - \tilde{G}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\partial}_\alpha \chi \tilde{\partial}_\beta \chi - \left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \tilde{G}^{\alpha\beta} Tr\left(\tilde{\partial}_\alpha ML \tilde{\partial}_\beta ML\right) - \tilde{R}^{(D-d)}(G) + \left(\frac{1}{12}\right) \tilde{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{H}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}] \tag{3}$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

$$\chi = \Phi - ln\sqrt{det \hat{G}} \tag{5}$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}^{-1} & -\hat{G}^{-1}\hat{B} \\ \hat{B}\hat{G}^{-1} & \hat{G} - \hat{B}\hat{G}^{-1}\hat{B} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

If one of the coordinates $\hat{Y}^1$ is time-like, then the action (2) is invariant under an $O(d-1,1) \otimes O(d-1,1)$ transformation on $\hat{G}, \hat{B}$ and Φ given by

$$M \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \eta(S+R)\eta & \eta(R-S) \\ (R-S)\eta & (S+R) \end{pmatrix} M \begin{pmatrix} \eta\left(S^T + R^T\right)\eta & \eta\left(R^T - S^T\right) \\ \left(R^T - S^T\right)\eta & \left(S^T + R^T\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

with η = diag (-1, 1, ...1) ; S, R some O(d-1, 1) matrices satisfying $S\eta S^T = \eta$,$R\eta R^T = \eta$; $S_{11} = cosh\theta = R_{11}$,$S_{21} = -sinh\theta = -R_{21}$, and $S_{i1} = R_{i1} = 0$, for $i \geq 3$.

In component form the transformed fields are given by [2] :

$$\left(\hat{G}'^{-1}\right)_{ij} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)[\eta(S+R)\eta\hat{G}^{-1}\eta\left(S^T+R^T\right)\eta$$

$$+\eta(R-S)\left(\hat{G}-\hat{B}\hat{G}^{-1}\hat{B}\right)\left(R^T-S^T\right)\eta$$

$$-\eta(S+R)\eta\hat{G}^{-1}\hat{B}\left(R^T-S^T\right)\eta$$

$$+\eta(R-S)\hat{B}\hat{G}^{-1}\eta\left(S^T+R^T\right)\eta]_{ij} \qquad (8a)$$

$$\left(\hat{B}'\right)_{ij} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)[\{(R-S)\eta\hat{G}^{-1}\eta\left(S^T+R^T\right)\eta$$

$$+(S+R)\left(\hat{G}-\hat{B}\hat{G}^{-1}\hat{B}\right)\left(R^T-S^T\right)\eta$$

$$+(S+R)\hat{B}\hat{G}^{-1}\eta\left(S^T+R^T\right)\eta$$

$$-(R-S)\eta\hat{G}^{-1}\hat{B}\left(R^T-S^T\right)\eta\}\hat{G}']_{ij} \qquad (8b)$$

$$\Phi' = \Phi - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) ln \quad det\hat{G} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) ln \quad det\hat{G}' \qquad (8c)$$

The equations of motion obtained from (2) are

$$R_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu D_\nu \Phi + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) H_\mu^{\lambda\rho} H_{\nu\lambda\rho} \qquad (9a)$$

$$D_\mu\Phi D^\mu\Phi - 2D_\mu D^\mu\Phi + R - \left(\frac{1}{12}\right) H_{\mu\nu\rho}H^{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \qquad (9b)$$

$$D_\lambda H^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \left(D_\lambda\Phi\right) H^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad (9c)$$

Maximal symmetry implies

$$R_{\mu\nu} = K(1-D)g_{\mu\nu} \quad i.e. \quad R = K(1-D)D \qquad (10)$$

For $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$, equations $(9a)$ and (10) give

$$D^{\mu}\phi D_{\mu}\phi = \partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi = R = K(1-D)D \tag{11a}$$

and

$$\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\phi = R_{\mu\nu} = K(1-D)g_{\mu\nu} \tag{11b}$$

Let ϕ be a function of r only.This is a consistent assumption in the implementation of the O(d)⊗O(d) symmetry (i.e. r is the only $\tilde{Y}$ type coordinate while others are of $\hat{Y}$ type).Then $(11b)$ gives for $\mu = \nu = t, \partial_t^2\phi = 0$. Hence $\phi = \alpha r + \beta$, where α, β are constants.This value of ϕ when substituted in $(11a)$ gives $\alpha = 0$.So the dilaton background ϕ is a constant i.e. $\phi = \beta$.

For $B_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, maximally symmetric solutions to (9) are (for $D = 3$)

$$H^2_{t1r} = \frac{K(1-D)}{2} g_{tt} \quad g_{11} \tag{12a}$$

i.e.

$$B_{t1} = \left[\frac{K(1-D)}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \int dr \left[g_{tt} \quad g_{11}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{12b}$$

and

$$\partial_r^2\Phi = 0 \quad i.e. \ \Phi = \alpha \quad r + \beta \tag{12c}$$

Now consider a class of solutions to (9) of the form

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \Sigma_{i=1}^{d-1} dx^1 dx^1 + dr^2 \tag{13a}$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 = \beta = constant, \quad B_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{13b}$$

Here $f(r)$ is some function of (r).For simplicity, we take $D = 3$ with coordinates (t, x^1, r).The components of R_{iklm} are

$$R_{trtr} = (1/2)\partial_r^2 f - (1/4)f^{-1}(\partial_r f)^2 \tag{14a}$$

$$R_{t1t1} = R_{r1r1} = 0 \tag{14b}$$

All other components are either vanishing or related to the above by symmetry (antisymmetry) properties of R_{iklm}. Maximal symmetry now means that

$$R_{trtr} = (1/2)\partial_r^2 f - (1/4)f^{-1}(\partial_r f)^2 = -Kf \tag{15a}$$

$$R_{t1t1} = R_{r1r1} = 0 = -K1 = -K \tag{15b}$$

Equations (15) imply that the metric of the general form (13a) is *maximally symmetric only if $K = 0$.* This agrees with our earlier conclusion that $K = 0$ for $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$. In this case $f(r) = (r + const.)^2$. Thus the metric (13a) and the Minkowski metric have the same number of eigenvalues of each sign and same K *i.e.* $K = 0$.Hence they are related by a coordinate diffeomorphism.

The twisted solutions obtained from (13) , using (8) are

$$\hat{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} -f/[1+(1-f)sinh^2\theta] & 0 \\ 0 & 1/[1+(1-f)sinh^2\theta] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -E_t & 0 \\ 0 & E_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

$$\hat{B}' = \Big((1-f)cosh\theta sinh\theta/[1+(1-f)sinh^2\theta]\Big) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

$$\phi' = \beta - ln[1+(1-f)sinh^2\theta] \tag{18}$$

The O(d)⊗O(d) twisted metric is thus given by

$$ds^2 = -E_t dt^2 + E_1 dx^1 dx^1 + dr^2 \tag{19}$$

The components of the curvature tensor are now

$$R_{t1t1} = (1/4)\ \partial_r E_t\ \partial_r E_1 \tag{20a}$$

$$R_{trtr} = (1/2)\ \partial_r^2 E_t \ \ - \ \ (1/4)\ (E_t)^{-1}\ (\partial_r E_t)^2 \tag{20b}$$

$$R_{1r1r} = (1/2)\ \partial_r^2 E_1 \ \ + \ \ (1/4)\ (E_1)^{-1}\ (\partial_r E_1)^2 \tag{20c}$$

All other components are either vanishing or related to the above by symmetry (antisymmetry) properties of R_{iklm}.The condition of maximal symmetry now gives

$$yz = -K' \tag{21a}$$

$$\partial_r y + y^2 = -K' \tag{21b}$$

$$\partial_r z + z^2 = -K' \tag{21c}$$

where

$$y = \partial_r[(1/2)lnE_t],\ \ z = \partial_r[(1/2)lnE_1] \tag{21d}$$

and K' is the new curvature constant.The twisted solution (17) has $\hat{B}'_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$.However, we have seen from the equations of motion that maximal symmetry demands that (from eqation (12b))

$$B'_{t1} = [K'(1-D)/2]^{1/2} \int dr\ \ [g'_{tt}\ \ g'_{11}]^{1/2}$$

which when solved for B'_{t1} results in

$$B'_{t1} = -\Big((K')^{1/2}/2sinh^2\theta\Big)\, ln[1 + (1-(r+const.)^2)sinh^2\theta] \tag{22}$$

The solution (17) (with $f(r) = (r + const.)^2$) and the solution (22) can never be matched to be identical for any value of the parameter θ.So maximal symmetry is destroyed.

Keeping $B_{\mu\nu}$ still zero, assume (for generality) that the following constitute a solution to the equations of motion

$$ds^2 = -f_t(r)dt^2 + f_1(r)(dx^1)^2 + dr^2 \tag{23a}$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 = \beta, \qquad B_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{23b}$$

Here, unlike (19), f_t and f_1 are two independent functions of r. The metric (23a) is maximally symmetric when

$$p \quad q = -K_1 \tag{24a}$$

$$\partial_r p + p^2 = -K_1 \tag{24b}$$

$$\partial_r q + q^2 = -K_1 \tag{24c}$$

with

$$p = \partial_r[(1/2) ln f_t], \qquad q = \partial_r[(1/2) ln f_1] \tag{24d}$$

and the solutions to $f_t(r)$ and $f_1(r)$ are found to be

$$f_t(r) = cos^2(K_1^{1/2} r), \qquad f_1(r) = sin^2(K_1^{1/2} r), \qquad K_1 > 0 \tag{25a}$$

$$f_t(r) = cosh^2(K_1^{1/2} r), \qquad f_1(r) = sinh^2(K_1^{1/2} r), \qquad K_1 < 0 \tag{25b}$$

We shall now see that these solutions are inconsistent with the equations of motion.

In the discussion immediately after (11b) we saw that for $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$ consistency of the the equations (9) demands that the curvature constant vanishes. Therefore $K_1 = 0$. This combined with (24a) means that either $p = 0$ or$q = 0$, i.e. either f_t or f_1 must be a constant. Let $f_1 = 1$. Then (23a) reduces to the form (13a) which we have already discussed.We therefore conclude that for a string moving in a background of constant ϕ and vanishing $B_{\mu\nu}$, a maximally symmetric space-time solution is only possible with a zero curvature metric. The O(d)⊗O(d) twist , however, violates this maximal symmetry.

Now consider the case of $B_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. We take the metric as in $(23a)$

$$ds^2 = -f_t(r)dt^2 + f_1(r)\left(dx_1\right)^2 + dr^2 \tag{26a}$$

$$f_t(r) = cos^2\left(K_1^{1/2}r\right), \ \ f_1(r) = sin^2\left(K_1^{1/2}r\right), \ \ K_1 > 0 \tag{26b}$$

$$f_t(r) = cosh^2\left(K_1^{1/2}r\right), \ \ f_1(r) = sinh^2\left(K_1^{1/2}r\right), \ \ K_1 < 0 \tag{26c}$$

and assume that the curvature is small. Why we assume this will be evident shortly. The equations (12) imply

$$H_{t1r}^2 = \frac{K(D-1)}{2} f_t f_1 \tag{27}$$

$$and \quad \partial_r^2 \Phi = 0 \ \ i.e. \ \ \Phi = \alpha r + \beta \tag{28}$$

(9b) then yields

$$\alpha^2 = K(1-D)D + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) K(1-D) \tag{29}$$

This means that α^2 is of the order of K. The equation of motion for $H_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ (i.e.(9c)) is satisfied for the derived solutions (14) and (15) except when $\mu = 1, \nu = 0$ and this non-vanishing part is

$$\alpha \left[\frac{K(D-1)}{2} f_t f_1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{30}$$

For $B_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, these solutions are valid and can be made compatible with the equations of motion as follows. In the light of(25),(28) and the assumption of small K, (30) is of the order of $K^{\frac{5}{2}}$. Retaining upto terms linear in the curvature, the contribution (29) may be ignored and the equations of motion satisfied. So in this approximation of small curvature, we can have maximally symmetric solutions with f_t and f_1, in conjunction with $\Phi = \alpha r + \beta$ and a non-vanishing $B_{\mu\nu}$. We confine ourselves to the case of positive curvature.

Identical conclusions also hold for negative curvature.($25a$) and ($12b$) give the solution for $B_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\hat{B}_{t1} = -\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) cos\left[2K_1^{1/2} r\right] \tag{31}$$

so our starting solution with a maximally symmetric metric is (26), (28) and (31). Using (8), the twisted solutions are

$$\hat{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} -F_t & 0 \\ 0 & F_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{32a}$$

$$F_t = \frac{f_t}{\left[1 + (1 - f_t f_1)\, sinh^2\theta + \hat{B}_{t1}\left(\hat{B}_{t1} sinh^2\theta + sinh 2\theta\right)\right]}$$

$$F_1 = \frac{f_1}{\left[1 + (1 - f_t f_1)\, sinh^2\theta + \hat{B}_{t1}\left(\hat{B}_{t1} sinh^2\theta + sinh 2\theta\right)\right]}$$

$$\hat{B}' = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1 - f_t f_1 + \hat{B}_{t1}^2\right) sinh 2\theta + \hat{B}_{t1} cosh 2\theta}{1 + (1 - f_t f_1)\, sinh^2\theta + \hat{B}_{t1}\left(\hat{B}_{t1} sinh^2\theta + sinh 2\theta\right)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{32b}$$

$$\Phi' = \alpha r + \beta - ln\left[1 + (1 - f_t f_1)\, sinh^2\theta + \hat{B}_{t1}\left(\hat{B}_{t1} sinh^2\theta + sinh 2\theta\right)\right] \tag{32c}$$

The analogues of (12a) is now

$${H'_{t1r}}^2 = K'_1 \frac{(D-1)}{2} F_t F_1 \tag{33}$$

and this may be solved to get the antisymmetric tensor field as :

$$\hat{B'}_{t1} = -\left[\frac{2\left[\frac{K'_1}{K_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left[sinh\theta\left(12 + 11 sinh^2\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]}\right] tan^{-1}\left[\frac{\left(5 sinh\theta cos(2K_1^{1/2} r) - 4 cosh\theta\right)}{2\left(12 + 11 sinh^2\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \tag{34}$$

(32b) and (34) can never be matched to be identical for any value of θ. So maximal symmetry is not preserved under the O(d) $\otimes$ O(d) transformation.

The implication of this work is therefore that in the context of maximally symmetric classical solutions to the string equations of motion, the O(d) $\otimes$ O(d) transformation gives solutions that are *not* maximally symmetric. However, as torsion is present , it is worthwhile to investigate the meaning of maximal symmetry in the presence of torsion.

References

[1] Veneziano, G. (1991) Phys. Lett.B265, 287; Meissner, K.A. and Veneziano, G. (1991) Phys. Lett. B267, 33; (1991) Mod. Phys. Lett. A6, 3397; Gasperini M. and Veneziano, G.(1991) Phys.Lett. B277, 256.

[2] Sen, A. (1991) Phys.Lett.B271, 295; (1991) Phys.Lett.B274,34.

[3] Narain, K.S. (1985) Phys.Lett. B169, 41; Narain, K.S., Sarmadi, M. and Witten E. (1987) Nucl.Phys. B279, 369.

[4] Weinberg, S. in *Gravitation and Cosmology*,(1972) John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp.375-404.

[5] Schwarz, J. (1982) Phys.Rep., 223; Green, M., Schwarz, J. and Witten, E. in *Superstring Theory,Vols.1 and 2*, (1987) Cambridge Univ. Press, New York; Lovelace, C. (1984) Phys.Lett. B135, 75; Fradkin, E. and Tseytlin, A. (1985) Phys.Lett. B158, 316; Sen, A. (1985) Phys.Rev.Lett. 55, 1846; Callan, C., Friedan, D., Martinec, E., and Perry, M. (1985) Nucl.Phys. B258,46.